



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,631	10/10/2003	Inkuk Kang	H0712	1197
45305	7590	12/10/2004	EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP (AMDS)				ECKERT II, GEORGE C
1621 EUCLID AVE - 19TH FLOOR				
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2815		

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/683,631	KANG ET AL.
	Examiner George C. Eckert II	Art Unit 2815

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 October 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    - a) All
    - b) Some \*
    - c) None of:
      1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
      2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
      3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/04</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant has argued that the amended limitation of claim 18 precludes restriction between the method of making a device and the device. This argument is persuasive and the restriction requirement of the paper mailed October 19, 2004 is withdrawn. Claims 1-38 are pending and no claims are withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12, 13, 17-21, 25-27, 29, 30, 34 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 6,661,053 to Willer et al. (hereinafter “Willer”). Willer teaches in figures 2-4 a memory device and method of forming the device comprising:  
a semiconductor substrate 1 having at least one trench formed in a surface thereof;  
a recessed channel region 5 of a first conductivity type semiconductor (p-type) formed in the substrate below each trench;  
a source region 2 and a drain region 3 of a second conductivity type (n-type) formed in the semiconductor substrate on opposing sides of each trench, wherein a bottom of the source and drain regions are above a floor of the trench;

a gate dielectric layer 10-12 formed on the substrate along the bottom and sidewalls of the trench; and

a control gate 4 formed over the dielectric layer above the recessed channel region.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Willer teaches column 5, lines 47-52 the depths of the source/drain regions and that of the trench, wherein the source/drain depth may be 150 nm (1500 Å) and the trench depth may be 220 nm (2200 Å) so that a difference is 700 Å and a proportion is 150/220 or 68% which is “about” 60%. Regarding claim 4, Willer teaches in figure 4 an embodiment in which the trench sidewall has an angle greater than 90° to the trench floor. Regarding claim 7, Willer teaches the device formed in a bulk substrate. Regarding claims 8-10, Willer teaches that the dielectric layer is ONO such that the nitride layer is isolated from the bottom and side of the trench and that the gate and substrate are silicon as to form a SONOS device. Regarding claim 12, Willer teaches the gate dielectric is formed to extend above the source and drain (e.g. fig. 4). Regarding claim 13, Willer teaches the control gate resides within the trench and is substantially at the same level as an upper surface of the gate dielectric (note that layer 13 is the word line while 4 is the gate). Regarding claim 38, Willer teaches in column 3, lines 46-48 that a method of erasing includes a negative potential (-8V) to the gate and a positive potential (5V) to the drain.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 5, 6, 11, 14-16, 22-24, 28, 31-33, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '053 to Willer as applied above in view of 2002/0024092 to Palm et al (hereinafter "Palm"). Willer taught the device and method of claims 1 and 18 but did not teach the specific thickness or alternative types of the dielectric layer, nor the specific process for etching the trench. Palm teaches a device comprising and a method of forming a memory cell wherein the bottom of the source/drain regions are above the bottom of a gate filled trench, which trench is located in a substrate. Palm further teaches a list of dielectrics in paragraphs 0014-16 which include both high-K and standard-K materials. Palm teaches that a total thickness of the dielectric may be between 65 – 250Å and comprise an ONO layer wherein the bottom oxide layer has a thickness from 25 – 80 Å (para. 0029). Palm also teaches that the trench may be formed by an anisotropic (directional) etch (fig. 7, para. 0034) and an RIE etch is considered well known and obvious in the art. Also, forming the device on an SOI substrate as opposed to bulk is considered well known in the art as an SOI substrate provides better isolation between devices.

Willer and Palm are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the dielectrics taught by Palm in the device of Willer. The motivation for doing so, as is taught

by Palm, is that the list of dielectrics allows for optimization of both the electron storing ability of the layer and the dielectric constant of the layer (para. 0013). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Willer and Palm to obtain the invention of claims 5, 6, 11, 14-16, 23, 24, 26-28 and 31-33.

4. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '053 to Willer in view of 5,960,271 to Wollesen et al. (hereinafter "Wollesen"). Willer taught the method of claims 18 and 34 but did not expressly disclose that the gate was made substantially at the same level as the upper surface of the gate dielectric layer by CMP. Wollesen teaches in figures 7b-9 a gate dielectric 20a and gate layer 21 which are formed in a trench in a substrate, wherein the dielectric and gate are planarized using a CMP process (fig. 9, col. 5, lines 31-43).

Willer and Wollesen are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform the method of Willer further including the CMP process of Wollesen. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Wollesen, is that a CMP process is well known in the art and allows for use of the overlying oxide layer as an etch stop (col. 5, lines 31-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Willer and Wollesen to obtain the invention of claim 35.

### *Conclusion*

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art teach structures and methods of forming a trenched gate wherein the source/drain regions are formed above the bottom of the trench.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Eckert II whose telephone number is (571) 272-1728.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



GEORGE ECKERT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER